

Assessment Report of Delaware's
CHESAPEAKE BASIN



March 2001

*Delaware Department of Natural Resources
and Environmental Control*



Acknowledgments

This report, compiled by the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control's Chesapeake Basin Whole Basin Management team, represents a comprehensive assessment of the Delaware portion of the Chesapeake Bay drainage basin. This effort, overseen under the leadership of Steve Smailer, a senior hydrologist with the Division of Water Resources, challenged scientists, engineers, planners, and managers from throughout the Department to merge all the available environmental data and information about this basin into a single, comprehensive document.

The individuals that participated in this multidisciplinary initiative are to be congratulated for the time and talents that they devoted to this effort. The members of the Chesapeake team include the following:

**Air & Waste
Management**

Dennis Murphy
Robert Palmer
Betsy Frey
Mark Glaze
Dave Fees
Dave Lerner
Eric Trinkle
Ann Breslin
Lillian Wang

Fish & Wildlife

Ken Reynolds
Edna Stetzar

Parks & Recreation

Ann M. Townsbend
Rob Line

Soil & Water

Stephanie Breeding
Nancy Goggin
Thomas Barthelmeb

Water Resources

Brad Smith
Steve Smailer
Robin Tyler
Blair Venables
Jim Chaconas
John Kennel
John Schneider
John Martin
Bruce Bagley
Jack Pingree
Lyle Jones

**Office of
the Secretary**

Dennis Brown
Stephen Williams

Other Contributors

Hassan Mirsajadi
Blair Venables
Stewart Lovell

Support Staff

Stanley Von Essen
Dawna Nickerson
Diane Miller
Lisa Vest

The team members would like to extend a special note of thanks to Eric Trinkle for serving as the master editor of this entire document. Eric maintained the highest degree of professionalism at all times despite tremendous challenges. The team also appreciates the GIS mapping contributions made by Dennis Murphy, Steve Smailer, Debbie Sullivan, and Kathy Milman.

The team also acknowledges Dave Lerner, Ann Breslin, and Lynn Powers for providing technical reviews of the report.

The Chesapeake Team members appreciate the support they received from their managers for allowing them to participate in the Whole Basin Management approach. A special note of thanks is extended to John Barndt for his willingness and open-mindedness for allowing his employee, Steve Smailer, to serve as the Chesapeake team leader. John also provided technical reviews for certain sections of this report.

A special thanks is extended to Sam McKeeman of the State Personnel Office for providing the group with the knowledge and tools necessary to join together as a true team at the onset of this exciting endeavor.

Funding to prepare and print this document was provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Delaware’s Drainage Basins 1
 1.2 The Chesapeake Bay Basin Assessment 2

CHAPTER 2: ASSESSMENT

2.1 GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND SEDIMENTS 5
 2.1.1 Introduction 5
 2.1.2 Geology and Hydrogeology. 5
 2.1.2.1 Geology 6
 2.1.2.2 Hydrogeology 9
 2.1.3 Soils 14
 2.1.3.1 Hydric Soils 14
 2.1.3.2 Drainage 15
 2.1.3.3 Erosion 15
 2.1.3.4 Characteristics of the Basin 16
 2.1.3.5 Quality of Mapping 17
 2.1.3.6 Suitability for Development 17
 2.1.3.7 Suitability for Farming 18
 2.1.3.8 Interrelationships 18
 2.1.4 Sediments 19
 2.1.4.1 Erosion 19
 2.1.4.2 Sediment and Nutrient Transport 19
 2.1.4.3 Dredging 20
 2.1.4.4 Contaminated Source 21
 2.1.4.5 Interrelationships 23
 2.1.5 Data Gaps and Recommendations 24
 2.1.6 References. 24

2.2 DEMOGRAPHICS 27
 2.2.1 Introduction 27
 2.2.2 Maps 27
 2.2.2.1 Land Use 27
 2.2.2.2 Agricultural Preservation Districts 27
 2.2.3 Infrastructure-Induced Growth in Agricultural and Conservation Areas 28
 2.2.4 Growth Management Strategies Map. 28
 2.2.5 Quantification of Nanticoke River Select Land-Use Changes 28
 2.2.5.1 Trends. 28
 2.2.6 Population Data 30
 2.2.6.1 General Population Trends 30
 2.2.6.2 Census Data 30
 2.2.7 References. 30

2.3 CONTAMINANTS ASSESSMENT 31
 2.3.1 Introduction 31
 2.3.2 Nutrients (Nitrogen and Phosphorus) 32
 2.3.2.1 Category Definition and Characteristics 32
 2.3.2.2 Nitrogen. 32
 2.3.2.3 Phosphorus 34
 2.3.2.4 Bacteria (Pathogen Indicators) 35

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.3.2.5 Inventory of Potential Sources	36
2.3.3 Chemicals	42
2.3.3.1 Category Definition and Characteristics	42
2.3.3.2 Inventory of Potential Sources	42
2.3.4 Overall Contaminant Findings	49
2.3.4.1 Nutrients	49
2.3.4.2 Chemicals	50
2.3.5 Data Gaps and Recommendations	50
2.3.5.1 Nutrients	50
2.3.5.2 Chemicals	53
2.3.6 References.	54
2.4 AIR QUALITY	57
2.4.1 Introduction	57
2.4.1.1 Status	57
2.4.2 Central Issues	60
2.4.2.1 Ozone.	60
2.4.2.2 Pollutant Deposition.	61
2.4.2.3 Air Toxics	62
2.4.2.4 Changing National Ambient Air Quality Standards	63
2.4.3 Positive Initiatives	64
2.4.3.1 Brief Discussion of the Clean Air Act of 1990 and Requirements	64
2.4.4 Data Gaps and Recommendations	64
2.4.5 References.	64
2.4.6 Addendum #1 — Emission Estimation Approach.	65
2.4.6.1 Point Sources	65
2.4.6.2 Stationary Area Sources	65
2.4.6.3 On-Road Mobile Source Emissions.	65
2.4.6.4 Off-road Mobile Sources.	65
2.4.7 Addendum #2 — Progress Toward Attainment of the NAAQS for Ozone	66
2.5 WATER RESOURCES.	67
2.5.1 Introduction	67
2.5.1.1 Background	67
2.5.1.2 Historical Perspective	67
2.5.2 Surface Water	68
2.5.2.1 Watershed Characteristics	68
2.5.2.2 Quality	69
2.5.2.3 Quantity.	74
2.5.3 Ground Water	75
2.5.3.1 Use	75
2.5.3.2 Characteristics	76
2.5.3.3 Quality	76
2.5.3.4 Quantity.	78
2.5.4 Data Gaps and Recommendations	80
2.5.5 References.	80



2.6 WETLANDS 81

2.6.1 Introduction 81

2.6.1.1 Definition 81

2.6.1.2 Wetland Attributes 81

2.6.2 National Wetlands Inventory and the Statewide Wetland Mapping Project 82

2.6.2.1 Introduction 82

2.6.2.2 Statewide Wetlands Mapping Project 82

2.6.2.3 Cowardin Classification Scheme Applied to NWI or SWMP. 83

2.6.3 Wetland Vegetation and Plant Communities 85

2.6.3.1 Introduction 85

2.6.3.2 Definition of a Hydrophyte 85

2.6.3.3 Plant Indicator Status Categories 85

2.6.4 Unique or Threatened Wetlands 85

2.6.5 Distribution of Wetland Types 85

2.6.5.1 Introduction 85

2.6.5.2 Palustrine Wetlands 86

2.6.5.3 Estuarine Wetlands 86

2.6.5.4 Lacustrine Wetlands 86

2.6.5.5 Riverine Wetlands 86

2.6.6 Wetland Losses and Trends 86

2.6.6.1 Introduction 86

2.6.6.2 Wetlands Trend Study by Tiner (1982 – 1989) 87

2.6.6.3 Wetland Trend Study by Dahl and Others (1997) 87

2.6.6.4 Trend Study (Utilizing Statewide Wetland Mapping Project Data) 87

2.6.7 Wetlands Mitigation and Compensation 88

2.6.7.1 Introduction 88

2.6.7.2 Wetlands Compensation Goals. 88

2.6.7.3 Wetlands Compensation Banks 89

2.6.8 Channelization. 89

2.6.8.1 Historical Perspective and Need 89

2.6.8.2 Tax Ditch Organizations 90

2.6.8.3 Environmental Concerns and Mitigation 91

2.6.9 Regulatory Programs For Protection of Aquatic and Wetland Resources 93

2.6.9.1 Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 93

2.6.9.2 The Clean Water Act. 93

2.6.9.3 Federal Permitting Requirements. 93

2.6.9.4 The Wetlands Act 94

2.6.9.5 The Subaqueous Lands Act 94

2.6.10 Wetland Functions and Values 95

2.6.10.1 Fish and Wildlife Habitat 95

2.6.10.2 Environmental Quality Benefits 95

2.6.10.3 Socioeconomic Values 96

2.6.11 Data Gaps and Recommendations 96

2.6.12 References 96

2.7 LIVING RESOURCES 99

2.7.1 Introduction 99

2.7.2 Characterization 99

2.7.2.1 Emergence of Delmarva Habitats 100

2.7.2.2 Prehistoric Human Impacts 101

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.7.2.3	Historic Human Impacts	101
2.7.2.4	Biotic Communities.	102
2.7.2.5	Wildlife.	106
2.7.2.6	Fisheries Resources.	109
2.7.2.7	Living Resource Based Recreation	113
2.7.3	Current Sources of Impact upon Living Resources	114
2.7.3.1	Loss of Available Habitat	114
2.7.3.2	Fragmentation of Habitat	114
2.7.3.3	Sedimentation	115
2.7.3.4	Modern Forestry	115
2.7.3.5	Exotic Species	115
2.7.3.6	Insufficiently Protected Habitat	116
2.7.3.7	Other.	116
2.7.4	Positive Initiatives	116
2.7.4.1	Protection of Habitat	116
2.7.5	Trends	118
2.7.6	Information Needs	119
2.7.7	Data Gaps and Recommendations	119
2.7.8	References	120
2.8	LAND-USE ANALYSIS.	123
2.8.1	Urban Growth Areas	123
2.8.1.1	Definition	123
2.8.1.2	Location	123
2.8.1.3	Relationship to Natural Resources	125
2.8.1.4	Infrastructure within Growth Boundaries	126
2.8.1.5	Intensity of Growth Areas	126
2.8.2	Non-Government Organizations	127
2.8.2.1	Nanticoke Watershed Alliance	127
2.8.2.2	Nanticoke Watershed Preservation Committee	127
2.8.2.3	Nanticoke River Watershed Conservancy	127
2.8.2.4	Chesapeake Bay Foundation	127
2.8.2.5	Delmarva Water Transport Committee	127
2.8.3	Land-Use Regulations.	127
2.8.3.1	Subdivision Procedures.	127
2.8.3.2	Septic Issues	128
2.8.4	Land-Use Concerns.	129
2.8.4.1	Strip Development	129
2.8.4.2	Sunsetting	129
2.8.5	County Planning	129
2.8.5.1	Zoning Status.	129
2.8.5.2	Data Systems.	130
2.8.6	Open Space and Recreation	130
2.8.6.1	Parks	130
2.8.6.2	State Resource Areas (SRAs)	131
2.8.7	Critical Areas	132
2.8.8	Coordination with Maryland (USF&W, Nanticoke/Blackwater Group)	132
2.8.9	Historical and Archaeological Resources	133
2.8.10	Buffers and Conservation Zones.	134
2.8.11	Data Gaps and Recommendations.	135
2.8.12	References	135

CHAPTER 3: MAJOR ISSUES AND ASSOCIATED RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ISSUES	137
3.1.1 Total Maximum Daily Loads and Pollution Control Strategies	138
3.1.1.1 Riparian Areas	138
3.1.1.2 Channelization	138
3.1.1.3 Pond Management	139
3.1.1.4 Department Policy and Future Direction	139
3.1.1.5 Implemented Projects and Pollution Control Strategies	141
3.2 SENSITIVE RESOURCES	143
3.2.1 Resource Protection	143
3.2.1.1 Surface Water, Ground Water, and Wetlands	143
3.2.1.2 Riparian	144
3.2.1.3 Living Resources	144
3.2.1.4 Department Policy and Future Direction	145
3.2.2 Resource Characterization	145
3.2.2.1 Surface Water, Ground Water, and Wetlands	145
3.2.2.2 Living Resources	146
3.3 NON-NUTRIENT CONTAMINANTS	149
3.3.1 Research and Investigation	149
3.3.1.1 Department Policy and Future Direction	149
3.3.2 Education and Protection	150
3.3.2.1 Department Policy and Future Direction	150
3.3.2.2 Implemented Projects and Pollution Control Strategies	150

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1-1 Whole Basin Management Plan Process	2
Table 2.1-1 Geologic Properties	Refer to map section
Table 2.1-2 Hydrogeologic Properties	Refer to map section
Table 2.2-1 Land Use/Land Cover — Chesapeake Basin.	29
Table 2.2-2 Land Use/Land Cover — New Castle County Portion	29
Table 2.2-3 Land Use/Land Cover — Kent County Portion	29
Table 2.2-4 Land Use/Land Cover — Sussex County Portion	30
Table 2.3-1 Estimated Agricultural Nutrient Budget for Delaware	37
Table 2.3-2 Chesapeake Bay Drainage Area Conservation Activities	38
Table 2.3-3 Point Source Discharges for the Nanticoke Watershed.	42
Table 2.3-4 TRI Facilities Reporting within the Chesapeake Basin (1987 – 1998)	43
Table 2.4-1 Exceedances of 1-Hour Ozone Standard (ppm)	60
Table 2.4-2 Seaford Site Envirochem Sorbent Tube Results (ppb)	63
Table 2.5-1 USGS Stream-Flow Gauging Stations	74
Table 2.6-1 Adapted and Revised NWI Modifiers for Delaware's SWMP	84
Table 2.6-2 Changes in Specific Types of Vegetated Wetlands in the Delaware Portion of the Chesapeake Watershed (1982 – 1989).	87
Table 2.7-1 Turkey Restoration within the Chesapeake Basin	107
Table 2.7-2 Turkey Population Surveys within the Chesapeake Basin	107
Table 2.7-3 Results of the Whistling Bobwhite Quail Survey in Delaware	108
Table 2.7-4 Impacted Lakes and Ponds	112

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 2.8-1 Wastewater Flow Projections.	124
Table 2.8-2 Capital Cost Projections	125
Table 2.8-3 Growth Area Population.	126
Table 2.8-4 Examples of Chesapeake Basin Critical Areas	132

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1 Delaware's Basins and Watersheds	1
Figure 1.1-2 Chesapeake Basin Timeline	2
Figure 1.2-1 Regional Location of Delaware's Chesapeake Basin	3
Figure 2.3-1 The Soil-Nitrogen Cycle.	33
Figure 2.4-1 Sulfur Dioxide Trend at Seaford Monitoring Station	58
Figure 2.4-2 PM10 Trend at Seaford Monitoring Station	58
Figure 2.4-3 Distribution of Peak Ozone Season Daily VOC Emissions	59
Figure 2.4-4 Distribution of Peak Ozone Season Daily NOx Emissions	59
Figure 2.4-5 Distribution of Peak Ozone Season Daily CO Emissions	59
Figure 2.4-6 Number of Days Exceeding Ozone Standard	61
Figure 2.5-1 Stream Flow at Nanticoke Gauging Station (01487000)	74
Figure 2.5-2 Tidal Elevations of Nanticoke River at Seaford (Rt. 13 Bridge)	75
Figure 2.5-3a Cross-Sectional Profile of the Nanticoke River at Sharptown, MD	75
Figure 2.5-3b Cross-Sectional Profile of the Nanticoke River at Seaford, DE	75
Figure 2.6-1 Modified Cowardin Classification System for Delaware Wetlands.	83

LIST OF MAPS

Map 1.2-1 Chesapeake Basin Watersheds
Map 2.1-1 Surficial Geology
Map 2.1-2 Subsurface Geology
Map 2.1-3 Geologic Cross Section
Map 2.1-4 Hydrogeomorphic Regions
Map 2.1-5 Ground-Water Recharge Potential
Map 2.1-6 Hydric Soils
Map 2.1-7 Soil Erodibility
Map 2.1-8 Soil Types
Map 2.1-9 Septic System Suitability
Map 2.2-1 1984 Land Use
Map 2.2-2 1992 Land Use
Map 2.2-3 1997 Land Use
Map 2.2-4 Agricultural Preservation Districts
Map 2.2-5 State Investment Areas
Map 2.3-1 Known and Potential Nutrient Sources
Map 2.3-2 Known and Potential Chemical Sources
Map 2.3-3 Known and Potential Chemical Sources — City Details
Map 2.4-1 Air Sources
Map 2.5-1 Surface-Water Monitoring Locations
Map 2.5-2 Total Phosphorus Concentrations and Trends
Map 2.5-3 Total Nitrogen Concentrations and Trends
Map 2.5-4 Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations and Trends
Map 2.5-5 Water Table Elevation
Map 2.5-6 Water Resource Protection Areas
Map 2.5-7 Ground-Water Monitoring Locations

- Map 2.5-8 Nitrate Concentrations in Selected Wells
- Map 2.5-9 Domestic Well Densities
- Map 2.5-10 Chloride Concentrations in Selected Wells
- Map 2.5-11 Iron Concentrations in Selected Wells
- Map 2.5-12 Public Water-Supply Well Locations
- Map 2.5-13 Industrial Well Densities
- Map 2.5-14 Irrigation Well Densities
- Map 2.5-15 Combined Well Densities
- Map 2.5-16 Maximum Daily Ground-Water Use
- Map 2.6-1 Wetland Locations
- Map 2.6-2 Drainage Ditch Areas
- Map 2.7-1 Living Resources
- Map 2.8-1 Strip Development and Growth Patterns
- Map 2.8-2 Recreation Sites

